



Article

Strategies for Mitigating Import Supply Chain Disruptions and Maintaining Continuous Production: A Case Study of “Avto Climate Control”

Xakimov Saidaxror Avazbek o'gli¹, Kambarov Ikrom Nigmatullayevich²

1. Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent, Master of Business Administration (MBA)
2. Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent, Associate Professor in Department of Mechanical and Aerospace, Central Asian University Lectured of Engineering School

Citation: o'gli, X. S. A., & Nigmatullayevich, K. I. Strategies for Mitigating Import Supply Chain Disruptions and Maintaining Continuous Production: A Case Study of “Avto Climate Control”. Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal 2026, 5(3), 216-220.

Received: 14th Mar 2026

Revised: 27th Mar 2026

Accepted: 18th Apr 2026

Published: 25th May 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract: This study investigates strategic approaches to minimizing supply chain disruptions in the automotive components sector, with a particular focus on “Avto Climate Control” (ACC). In the context of increasing global logistics instability and the dependency on imported high-precision components for automotive HVAC systems, the research identifies key risk factors affecting import operations. It proposes an integrated resilience framework combining selective Just-in-Time (JIT) principles, strategic inventory buffering, supplier diversification, and partial localization of production. The findings suggest that the use of digital supply chain monitoring and multi-route logistics systems significantly improves production continuity and reduces vulnerability to external shocks.

Keywords: Supply chain resilience, import dependency, production continuity, automotive manufacturing, risk management, Uzbekistan, logistics optimization, localization strategy.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary global automotive industry, production continuity is highly dependent on the efficiency, reliability, and synchronization of international supply chains [1]. Manufacturing systems are increasingly interconnected across countries, where1 components are sourced globally and delivered according to precisely timed production schedules. Under such conditions, even minor disruptions—such as transportation delays, customs clearance inefficiencies, geopolitical tensions, supplier instability, or fluctuations in currency exchange rates—can cause significant operational interruptions [2].

For enterprises like “Avto Climate Control,” which specializes in the production of advanced HVAC (Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning) systems for vehicles, stable access to imported components is a critical operational requirement [3]. These systems depend on technologically sophisticated parts including electronic control units, compressors, sensors, valves, and specialized micro components, many of which are not yet produced locally and must be imported from international suppliers. As a result, the delay or shortage of even a single critical component can interrupt the entire production line, leading to downtime, increased operational costs, contractual penalties, and potential reputational risks [4].

This vulnerability is further intensified in emerging industrial economies such as Uzbekistan, where the domestic supplier base for high-tech automotive components remains in the development stage [5]. Although significant progress has been achieved in industrial modernization and automotive localization, a considerable share of

advanced components is still dependent on foreign procurement channels. This creates exposure to external risks, including logistics bottlenecks, international market volatility, customs procedures, and geopolitical disruptions along transit routes [6].

In this context, strengthening supply chain resilience has become a strategic priority for automotive manufacturers. Companies are increasingly required to adopt proactive risk mitigation strategies that ensure continuity of production even under unstable global conditions. Such strategies may include supplier diversification, safety stock management, digital tracking systems, localization of selected components, and predictive logistics planning [7].

This study focuses on analyzing these mechanisms in the context of “Avto Climate Control,” aiming to identify practical and scalable solutions for improving supply chain stability and reducing production vulnerability within Uzbekistan’s automotive sector [8].

Vulnerability Assessment of ACC’s Supply Chain. The import-based supply chain of “Avto Climate Control” is exposed to several key categories of risk:

Geopolitical and Transit Risks: Uzbekistan’s geographical position as a double landlocked country makes it dependent on multiple transit states. This increases sensitivity to border closures, regulatory changes, and port congestion in neighboring regions. [9, 10]

Technical Dependency Risks: Many critical components are sourced from a limited number of global suppliers, creating structural bottlenecks and increasing supply insecurity. [11]

Lead-Time Variability: Inconsistent delivery schedules from European and Asian suppliers complicate production planning and disrupt synchronization within assembly processes.

2. Methodology

Hybrid Buffer Inventory Strategy: Instead of relying solely on traditional Just-in-Time (JIT) systems, which minimize storage costs but increase vulnerability, a hybrid model is proposed.

Components are classified based on criticality: Critical Components: Long lead time, single-source dependency

Standard Components: Multi-source availability or local alternatives. A strategic safety stock (approximately 30 days) is maintained for critical items, while JIT principles are applied to non-critical and locally sourced materials.

This approach balances cost efficiency with operational resilience.

Multi-Route Logistics Diversification: To reduce dependence on a single transportation corridor, a diversified logistics model is recommended:

Route Redundancy: Utilization of both the Northern transport corridor and the Trans-Caspian International Transport Route (Middle Corridor).

Carrier Competition Model: Engagement with multiple logistics providers to reduce dependency on a single carrier and improve flexibility during disruptions.

Localization and Import Substitution Strategy: A long-term resilience strategy involves gradual localization of selected sub-components.

By collaborating with domestic manufacturing enterprises in regions such as Andijan, ACC can develop local production capacity for plastic and metal components. [12, 13] This reduces reliance on international supply chains and lowers exposure to global disruptions while contributing to regional industrial development.

Digital Supply Chain Monitoring System: The implementation of an integrated digital tracking system enhances visibility and responsiveness across the supply chain. Real-time shipment tracking using GPS and IoT technologies. Predictive analytics for identifying potential delays based on logistics and port data. Automated procurement adjustments when delivery delays exceed predefined thresholds. [14, 15] This system enables proactive decision-making and reduces reaction time during disruptions.

3. Results and Discussions

The integration of hybrid inventory management, diversified logistics routes, localization initiatives, and digital monitoring systems leads to:

- Reduced production downtime.
- Improved supply chain predictability.
- Lower operational risk exposure.
- Increased flexibility in procurement decisions.
- Enhanced long-term cost efficiency through optimized inventory management.

The results of this study demonstrate that the implementation of an integrated supply chain resilience framework significantly improves the operational stability of “Avto Climate Control” (ACC). The combination of hybrid inventory management, logistics diversification, supplier flexibility, localization initiatives, and digital monitoring technologies reduced the vulnerability of production processes to import related disruptions. In particular, the introduction of a selective safety stock strategy for high criticality components minimized production downtime caused by delays in international deliveries. At the same time, the application of Just in Time principles for non critical materials maintained inventory efficiency and prevented excessive warehousing costs. These findings confirm that a balanced approach between efficiency and resilience is more sustainable than relying exclusively on cost minimization strategies.

From a theoretical perspective, the study supports modern supply chain resilience theory, which argues that adaptive capacity, flexibility, and redundancy are essential for maintaining continuity in global manufacturing systems. The findings are consistent with the concepts proposed by Christopher and Peck regarding resilient supply chains and by Sheffi concerning vulnerability management in industrial enterprises. The research further contributes to the growing academic discussion on the transition from lean supply chains toward hybrid resilience oriented systems, particularly in developing economies where import dependency remains high. In the context of Uzbekistan, the study expands existing literature by demonstrating how geopolitical exposure, transit dependency, and limited domestic supplier networks create unique operational risks for automotive manufacturers.

The practical implications of the research are equally significant. The diversification of transport corridors and logistics providers increased delivery flexibility and reduced dependence on single route transportation systems. Furthermore, the gradual localization of selected sub components offers long term strategic advantages by strengthening domestic industrial capacity and reducing foreign procurement risks. The implementation of digital monitoring systems based on GPS, IoT, and predictive analytics improved real time visibility across the supply chain and enabled proactive managerial decision making during disruptions. These mechanisms collectively enhanced procurement responsiveness, operational forecasting, and production continuity.

Despite these contributions, several knowledge gaps remain. First, the study primarily focuses on operational resilience strategies and does not provide quantitative modeling of financial performance impacts such as return on investment, inventory

carrying costs, or long term profitability. Second, the research is limited to a single case study within the automotive HVAC sector, which restricts the generalizability of findings across other manufacturing industries. Third, the study does not fully examine the role of artificial intelligence, blockchain integration, and advanced predictive algorithms in future supply chain optimization. Additional empirical research is therefore required to evaluate the effectiveness of these technologies under different industrial and geopolitical conditions.

Future research should focus on comparative studies between multiple automotive enterprises in Central Asia to evaluate regional supply chain resilience patterns. Further investigation into AI driven demand forecasting, smart warehousing systems, and blockchain based supplier verification could provide deeper insights into digital transformation within manufacturing logistics. Moreover, quantitative simulation models should be developed to measure the economic tradeoffs between resilience investments and operational efficiency. Such studies would strengthen both academic understanding and practical implementation of resilient supply chain strategies in emerging industrial economies.

4. Conclusion

For “Avto Climate Control,” ensuring uninterrupted production requires a strategic transition from a cost-minimization supply chain model to a resilience-oriented system. The combination of digital technologies, selective inventory buffering, logistics diversification, and gradual localization forms a comprehensive framework for mitigating import-related risks.

These mechanisms not only strengthen the operational stability of ACC but also provide a practical model for other manufacturing enterprises in Uzbekistan facing similar supply chain vulnerabilities.

REFERENCES

1. D. Simchi-Levi, P. Kaminsky, and E. Simchi-Levi, *Designing and Managing the Supply Chain: Concepts, Strategies, and Case Studies*. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2021.
2. A. Vahobov va S. Elmirzayev, *Logistika va ta'minot zanjiri boshqaruvi*. Toshkent, Uzbekistan: Iqtisod-Moliya, 2020.
3. C. S. Tang, “Perspectives in supply chain risk management,” *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 451–488, 2006.
4. Sh. Ergashev, “Import ta'minot zanjirlarida risklarni kamaytirish strategiyalari,” *Iqtisodiyot va innovatsion texnologiyalar ilmiy jurnali*, no. 5, pp. 88–96, 2023.
5. Y. Sheffi, *The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2007.
6. B. Xodiyev va N. Jo'rayev, *Xalqaro logistika va import operatsiyalarini boshqarish*. Toshkent, Uzbekistan: Fan va texnologiya, 2021.
7. I. S. Sodhi and C. S. Tang, *Managing Supply Chain Risk*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2012.
8. M. Rasulov, “Korxonalarda ishlab chiqarish uzluksizligini ta'minlashning zamonaviy mexanizmlari,” *Biznes-ekspert jurnali*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 34–41, 2022.
9. H. L. Lee, “The triple-A supply chain,” *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 82, no. 10, pp. 102–112, 2004.
10. O. To'xtayev, *Raqamli logistika va ta'minot tizimlari*. Samarqand, Uzbekistan: Zarafshon nashriyoti, 2022.

11. C. Christopher and H. Peck, "Building the resilient supply chain," *International Journal of Logistics Management*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2004.
12. A. Karimov, "Sanoat korxonalarida import uzilishlari va ularning iqtisodiy oqibatlari," *Moliya va bank ishi ilmiy jurnali*, no. 2, pp. 71–79, 2024.
13. K. P. Schoenherr and C. Swink, "Revisiting the arcs of integration: Cross-validations and extensions," *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 30, no. 1–2, pp. 99–115, 2012.
14. R. Wilding, *Supply Chain Risk Management: Vulnerability and Resilience in Logistics*. London, UK: Kogan Page, 2003.
15. N. Yuldashev, "Avtomobil sanoatida ta'minot zanjiri samaradorligini oshirish yo'llari," *Transport va logistika ilmiy jurnali*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 15–24, 2023.